

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,760	02/25/2002	Carl P. Morse	SAA-70	4401
23569	7590 07/06/2004		EXAMINER	
SQUARE D COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1415 SOUTH ROSELLE ROAD			JANKUS, ALMIS R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	PALATINE, IL 60067			6
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/082,760	MORSE, CARL P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Almis R Jankus	2671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory procion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	April 2004.					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	, —					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	rawn from consideration. allowed. ected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the pri	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/082,760

Art Unit: 2671

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment of 4/12/04 has been considered in preparing this office action.

2. Claims 1-11, 14-33, 36-46, and 49-53 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Engdahl.

With respect to claim 1, Engdahl teaches the claimed method, for a factory process comprising a plurality of tasks, to permit monitoring of the process, the method comprising displaying the factory process in real-time as a three-dimensional, free-camera, computer generated representation of the process as a whole, at column 2 line 9 to column 3 line 24, the "free camera" being taught at the abstract, with the user being able to move within the virtual factory floor among the spatially linked objects; and selectively displaying each of the tasks in real-time as a three-dimensional, free-camera, computer generated representation of the respective task, at column 3 lines 3-8.

Claim 2 further requires selectively displaying data representative of a status of the displayed process. Engdahl teaches this at column 6 line 66 to column 7 line 15.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,760

Art Unit: 2671

Claim 3 further requires selectively displaying data representative of a status of one of the displayed tasks. Engdahl teaches this at column 7 lines 39-52.

Claim 4 further requires selectively displaying data representative of a status a plurality of the displayed tasks. Engdahl teaches this at column 2 lines 22-43, with the claimed tasks corresponding to machines.

Claim 5 further requires the process to have a controllable parameter and the method to include controlling the parameter of the factory process. Engdahl teaches this at column 6 line 66 to column 7 line 15.

Claim 6 further requires one of the tasks to have a controllable parameter and the method to include controlling the controllable parameter of the task. Engdahl teaches this at column 6 line 66 to column 7 line 15.

Claim 7 further requires a plurality of the tasks to have a controllable parameter and the method to include selectively controlling the controllable parameter of each of the tasks. Engdahl teaches this at column 6 line 66 to column 7 line 15.

Claim 8 further requires one of the tasks to have a sub-task and the method to include selectively displaying the sub-task in real-time as a three-dimensional, free-camera, computer generated representation of the respective task. Engdahl teaches this at column 7 lines 17-28 with the sub-task being a physical movement of the machine, for example.

Claim 9 further requires the sub-task to have a controllable parameter and the method to include controlling the controllable parameter of the sub-task. Engdahl teaches this at column 7 lines 17-28.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,760

Art Unit: 2671

Claim 10 further requires a plurality of the tasks to have a respective plurality of sub-tasks and the method to include selectively displaying the sub-tasks in real-time as a three-dimensional, free-camera, computer generated representation of the respective sub-tasks. Engdahl teaches this at column 7 lines 17-28.

Claim 11 further requires each of the sub-tasks to have a controllable parameter and the method to include controlling the controllable parameter of the sub-tasks. Engdahl teaches this at column 7 lines 17-28.

Claims 14-22 and 49-53 recite features corresponding to claims 1-11; thus, claims 14-22 and 49-53 are rejected using rationale presented above for respective features of claims 1-11.

Claims 23-33 are similar to claims 1-11 but further require a computer readable medium. Engdahl teaches using a computer readable medium at columns 11-12.

Claims 36-46 are similar to claims 1-11 but further require a system. Engdahl teaches this at column 11.

- 3. Claims 12-13, 34-35, 47-48, and 54-55 are allowed.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 4/12/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, the applicant argues that Engdahl fails to teach a "free camera", which permits an operator to monitor and view the virtual image of a factory process from effectively every orientation including zooming. However, Engdahl teaches

changing the viewpoint, at claim 1, and zooming at the first paragraph of column 7. Further, applicant argues that Engdahl does not describe the factory process as a whole as being monitored in real-time. However, the first paragraph at column 7 teaches a watch tool which is used for measuring real-time occurrences in the factory environment as reflected in its virtual depiction. The virtual depiction corresponds to the claimed "computer generated representation of the process". Therefore, applicant's assertion that Engdahl fails to teach a free camera; and monitoring a factory process in real time, in incorrect.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Almis R Jankus whose telephone number is 703-305-9795. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman can be reached on 703-305-9798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AJ

ALMIS H. JANKUS PRIMARY EXAMINER